Michael O. Leavitt Kathleen Clarke **Executive Director** Lowell P. Braxton

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 PO Box 145801 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801 801-538-5340 801-359-3940 (Fax) Division Director 801-538-7223 (TDD)

January 25, 2000

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT Z 230 748 168

Mike Glasson, Environmental Coordinator Andalex Resources, Inc. P.O. Box 902 Price, Utah 84501

Re: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N2000-46-1-1, Andalex Resources, Inc., Centennial Project, ACT/007/019, Compliance File

Dear Mr. Glasson:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector Peter H. Hess, on January 13, 2000. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by your or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

- 1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of violation</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within 30 days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
- If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written 2. request for an Assessment Conference within 30 days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

N ACT/ May 18, 1999 Page 2

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Tiffini Moss.

Sincerely,

Pamela Grubaugh-Littig

Assessment Officer

tm

Enclosure

James Fulton, OSM Tiffini Moss, DOGM

O:\007019.CEN\ASSESMNT\00-46-1-1-ltr.wpd

# WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

COMB	) A NTV/ N	MINIE	Andolov Dogove	on Inc. / Continuis   Dusines	4 NOV#	N2000 46 1 1		
COMP	ANIT	MINE _	Andalex Resource	es, Inc./ Centennial Project	L NOV#	<u> 1\2000-40-1-1</u>		
PERM	IT <u>AC</u>	CT/007/	019		VIOLA	ΓΙΟΝ <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>		
ASSES	SSMEN	T DAT	E <u>1/20/2000</u>	ASSESSMENT OFFIC	CER <u>Pam</u>	ela Grubaugh-Littig	<u>,</u>	
I.	HISTO	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.)						
	A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall one (1) year of today's date?							
	PREVI	IOUS V	IOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	E I	POINTS		
II.	1 point for each past violation, up to one (1) year 5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one (1) year No pending notices shall be counted  TOTAL HISTORY POINTS0  SERIOUSNESS (Either A or B)							
	NOTE:		For assignment	of points in Parts II and III	, the follow	ving apply:		
		1.	Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category the violation falls.					
		2.	adjust the points	e mid-point of the category s up or down, utilizing the iding documents.				
		Is this	an EVENT (A) o	r HINDRANCE (B) violat	tion? <u>Hi</u> ı	ndrance (B)		
	A.	EVENT VIOLATION (Max 45 pts.)						
		1.	What is the ever	nt which the violated stand	ard was de	esigned to prevent?		

What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated

2.

standard was designed to prevent?

<b>PROBABILITY</b>	RANGE					
None	0					
Unlikely	1-9					
Likely	10-19					
Occurred	20					
ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS						

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS \_\_\_\_

### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

- B. <u>HINDRANCE VIOLATION</u> (Max 25 pts.)

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS 8

## PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

The Division was potentially hindered due to lack of information from water quality data for four years.

- III. <u>NEGLIGENCE</u> (Max 30 pts.)
  - A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE: or was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence

Negligence

1-15

Greater Degree of Fault

16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 10

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

This data has been missing for point 12-1 for four years. It is unknown if the sampling was performed. No data was submitted (Division allowed Andalex from 12/18/99 to 1/10/2000 to submit data).

#### IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the A. violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

Immediate Compliance

-11 to -20\*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

Rapid Compliance

-1 to -10

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

Normal Compliance

(Operator complied within the abatement period required) (Operator complied with condition and/or terms of

approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

- \* Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.
- Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does B. the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

Rapid Compliance

-11 to -20\*

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

Normal Compliance

-1 to -10\*

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

Extended Compliance

(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay

within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? <u>Easy</u>

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS <u>0</u>

## PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

## V. <u>ASSESSMENT SUMMARY</u>

	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$180.00
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	18
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	10
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	8
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
NOT	ICE OF VIOLATION N2000-46-1-1	

tm
O:\007019.CEN\ASSESMNT\00-46-1-1.wpd